arXiv:1212.1517v1 [math.CT] 7 Dec 2012

Gorenstein homological dimensions and abelian model structures

Marco A. Pérez B.
Université du Québec à Montréal.
Département de Mathématiques.

December, 2012.

Abstract

Let R be an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. For $0 < r \leq n$, we construct a new abelian model structure on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$, called the Gorenstein r-projective model structure, where the class of cofibrant objects is given by the Gorenstein r-projective modules (i.e. modules whose Gorenstein projective dimension is at most r). Similarly, we construct an abelian model structure where the class of fibrant objects is the class of Gorenstein r-injective modules. We call this structure the Gorenstein r-injective model structure. Later, we construct the Gorenstein r-flat model structure, where the Gorenstein r-flat modules form the class of cofibrant objects. These structures have their analogous in the category of chain complexes. In [8], the authors establish a bijective correspondence between dg-projective (resp. dg-injective and dg-flat) complexes over R and Gorenstein projective (resp. injective and flat) $R[x]/(x^2)$-modules. We generalize this correspondence for any Gorenstein homological dimension r.

1 Introduction

We study model structures on the categories $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$, where R is a Gorenstein ring. In [10], M. Hovey constructs an abelian model structure on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ where the class cofibrant objects is given by the class of Gorenstein projective modules, the category $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and the trivial objects are the left R-modules of finite projective dimension. Dually, there is a model structure on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ with the same trivial objects, where $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, and the fibrant objects are given by the Gorenstein injective modules. Later in [8], M. Hovey and J. Gillespie construct another abelian model structure on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ where the class of cofibrant objects is given by the class of Gorenstein flat modules. We generalize Hovey and Gillespie's arguments to find analogous model structures on $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$. For the Gorenstein flat case, we use the notion of bar-cotorsion pairs, i.e. cotorsion pairs for which the orthogonality relation is given by the bar-extension functor $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}(-,-)$.

Later, we construct three new abelian model structures on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$, called the Gorenstein r-projective, Gorenstein r-injective and Gorenstein r-flat model structures. In the first (resp. the third) structure, the class of cofibrant objects is formed by the objects with Gorenstein projective (resp. Gorenstein flat) dimension at most r. In the second structure, the class of fibrant objects is given by the class of objects with Gorenstein injective dimension at most r. In order to construct these structures, we use a result known by some authors as the Hovey's Criteion, which allows us to get abelian model structures from compatible and complete cotorsion pairs. In this sense, we prove the completeness of the cotorsion pair cogenerated by the class of Gorenstein r-projective modules, and do the same with the cotorsion pair cogenerated by the class of Gorenstein r-flat modules. Dually, the cotorsion pair generated by the class of Gorenstein r-injective modules is also complete. This structures have their analoguos in the category of chain complexes.

For any ring R, there exists an invertible functor from $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ to the category of graded $R[x]/(x^2)$-modules. We study some properties of this functor. In [8], the authors prove that this functor gives rise to a bijective correspondence between the dg-projective complexes over R and the Gorenstein projective $R[x]/(x^2)$-modules. The same also occurs between dg-injective complexes over R and Gorenstein injective $R[x]/(x^2)$-modules, and between dg-flat complexes over R and Gorenstein flat $R[x]/(x^2)$-modules. In the end of this paper, we prove that this correspondence holds for any Gorenstein homological dimension. For instance, dg-r-projective complexes corresponds bijectively to Gorenstein r-projectives $R[x]/(x^2)$-modules.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some elementary notions and results. We shall be mainly working with modules and chain complexes. We shall denote by $R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R$) the category of left (resp. right) R-modules, where R is an associative ring with identity. For the category of chain complexes over $R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ (resp. over $\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R$) we shall use the notation $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ (resp. $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$). Given a chain complex $X = (X_m, \partial^X_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$, we shall denote the **cycle** modules by $Z_mX = \mathrm{Ker}(\partial^X_m)$, and the **boundary** modules by $B_mX = \mathrm{Im}(\partial^X_{m+1})$. Recall that a chain complex is called **exact** if $Z_mX = B_mX$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be abelian categories and $T : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be an additive functor contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a class of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, we shall say that a complex*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow D_1 \longrightarrow D_0 \longrightarrow D^0 \longrightarrow D^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

*in $\mathcal{D}$ is $T(\mathcal{F}, -)$-**exact** if for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the complex*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow T(F, D_1) \longrightarrow T(F, D_0) \longrightarrow T(F, D^0) \longrightarrow T(F, D^1) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{E}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a class of objects in $\mathcal{D}$, we shall say that a complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow C^0 \longrightarrow C^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

*in $\mathcal{C}$ is $T(-, \mathcal{F})$-**exact** if for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ the complex*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow T(C^1, F) \longrightarrow T(C^0, F) \longrightarrow T(C_0, F) \longrightarrow T(C_1, F) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a class of objects of $\mathcal{C}$. By a* **left $\mathcal{F}$-resolution** *of an object M of $\mathcal{C}$, we shall mean a $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, -)$-exact complex*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

(not necessarily exact) with each $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$. A **right $\mathcal{F}$-resolution** *of an object M of $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \mathcal{F})$-exact complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

(not necessarily exact) with each $F^i \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 2.1. *We shall work with exact left (Gorenstein) projective, exact left (Gorenstein) flat, and exact right (Gorenstein) injective resolutions.*

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category and $\mathcal{F}$ a class of objects in $\mathcal{C}$. Consider an object X in $\mathcal{C}$ for which there exists a left $\mathcal{F}$-resolution, say*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

*We shall say that $\mathrm{Im}(f_i)$ is the i-**th $\mathcal{F}$-syzygy of X in (1)**. Let $\Omega^i_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ denote the class of all i-th $\mathcal{F}$-syzygies occurring in all left $\mathcal{F}$-resolutions of X. We shall use the notation $\Omega^i(X)$ for the class of all projective syzygies of X. Dually, given a right $\mathcal{F}$-resolution of X, say*

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f^0} F^0 \xrightarrow{f^1} F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f^n} F^n \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (2),$$

*we shall say that $\mathrm{Ker}(f^i)$ is the i-**th $\mathcal{F}$-cosyzygy of X in (2)**, and $\Omega^{-i}_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ shall denote the class of all i-th $\mathcal{F}$-cosyzygies occurring in all right $\mathcal{F}$-resolutions of X. We shall use the notation $\Omega^{-i}(X)$ for the class of all injective cosyzygies of X.*

Proposition 2.1. *Let X and Y be two objects in a abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ with enough projective and injective objects. Then for every $A \in \Omega^i(X)$ and $B \in \Omega^{-i}(Y)$,*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(A, Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(X, B).$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ (or $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$) be a chain complex.*

(1) If $Y \in \Omega^i(X)$ then $Y_m \in \Omega^i(X_m)$, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) If $Y \in \Omega^{-i}(X)$ then $Y_m \in \Omega^{-1}(X_m)$, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We only prove (1), the proof of (2) is dual. Let $Y \in \Omega^i(X)$ and let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P^n \xrightarrow{f^n} P^{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1 \xrightarrow{f^1} P^0 \xrightarrow{f^0} X \longrightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of X such that $Y = \mathrm{Im}(f^i)$. Then we have the following long exact sequence in $R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P^n_m \xrightarrow{f^n_m} P^{n-1}_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1_m \xrightarrow{f^1_m} P^0_m \xrightarrow{f^0_m} X_m \longrightarrow 0 \quad (*).$$

Since each P^n is a projective chain complex, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_m(P^n) \longrightarrow P^n_m \longrightarrow Z_{m-1}(P^n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $Z_{m-1}(P^n)$ and $Z_m(P^n)$. Since projective modules are closed under extensions, we have that P^n_m is projective. So $(*)$ is a projective resolution of X_m and hence $Y_m = \mathrm{Im}(f^i_m) \in \Omega^i(X_m)$. □

Given two chain complexes $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$ and $Y \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$. The **tensor product complex** $X \otimes Y$ is the chain complex (of groups) given by $(X \otimes Y)_n = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} X_k \otimes_R Y_{n-k}$, where the boundary maps $(X \otimes Y)_n \longrightarrow (X \otimes Y)_{n-1}$ are defined by

$$\partial^{X\otimes Y}_n(x \otimes y) = \partial^X(x) \otimes y + (-1)^{|x|} x \otimes \partial^Y(y).$$

This construction defines a functor $-\otimes-$, from which one constructs the derived functors $\mathrm{Tor}_i(-,-)$. The **bar tensor product** of X and Y is the chain complex of groups $X\overline{\otimes}Y$ given by $(X\overline{\otimes}Y)_n = (X\otimes Y)_n/B_n(X\otimes Y)$, for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, whose boundary maps $(X\overline{\otimes}Y)_n \longrightarrow (X\overline{\otimes}Y)_{n-1}$ are given by

$$\partial_n^{X\overline{\otimes}Y}(\overline{x\otimes y}) = \overline{\partial^X(x)\otimes y}.$$

Now let X and Y be two chain complexes in $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$. The complex $\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)$ is defined by $\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)_n = \prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_R(X_k, Y_{n+k})$, for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then an element $f\in\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)_n$ is a set of maps $f=(f_k : X_k \longrightarrow X_{k+n})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. The boundary maps $\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)_{n-1}$ are defined by

$$\partial_n^{\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)}(f) = (\partial^Y_{k+n}\circ f_k - (-1)^n f_{k-1}\circ\partial^X_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

for every $f=(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)_n$. From $\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)$ we construct the **bar Hom functor** by setting the complex $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)$ as $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)_n = Z_n(\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y))$, for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let $f=(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)_n$. Note that for $n=0$ we have $\partial^Y_k\circ f_k = f_{k-1}\circ\partial^X_k$, i.e. f is a chain map and $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)_0 = \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)$. For $n=1$, $\partial^Y_{k+1}\circ f_k + f_{k-1}\circ\partial^X_k$, i.e. f is a chain homotopy from 0 to 0. The set of homomorphisms $f=(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{X}}$ is known as a **map of degree** n. The boundary maps of the complex $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)$ are given by

$$\partial_n^{\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)}(f) = (\partial^Y_{k+n}\circ f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}.$$

We shall denote the derived functors obtained from $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(-,-)$ and $\overline{\otimes}$ by $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i(-,-)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(-,-)$, respectively.

Definition 2.4. *Given a chain complex X and an integer $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, the k***th suspension** *of X is the complex $\Sigma^k(X)$ given by $(\Sigma^k(X))_n = X_{n-k}$ and $\partial_n^{\Sigma^k(X)} = (-1)^k\partial^X_{n-k}$. A class of complexes $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be* **closed under suspensions** *if $\Sigma^k(C)\in\mathcal{C}$, for every complex $C\in\mathcal{C}$.*

Proposition 2.3. *For every pair of complexes $X,Y\in\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ and for every $i\geq 0$, $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i(X,Y)$ is a chain complex of the form*

$$\cdots\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^i(X,\Sigma^{k+1}(Y))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^i(X,\Sigma^k(Y))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Ext}^i(X,\Sigma^{k-1}(Y))\longrightarrow\cdots.$$

Proof. First, we show the case $i=0$, i.e. that $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y)$ is the complex

$$\cdots\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^{k+1}(Y))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^k(Y))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^{k-1}(Y))\longrightarrow\cdots.$$

Every $f=(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\in Z_m\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y)$ satisfies the equality $\partial^Y_{k+m}\circ f_k = (-1)^m f_{k-1}\circ\partial^X_k$. On the other hand, $g\in\mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^{-m}(Y))$ makes the following diaram commute for every $k\in\mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_k & \xrightarrow{\quad\partial^X_k\quad} & X_{k-1} \\ {\scriptstyle g_k}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_{k-1}} \\ Y_{m+k} & \xrightarrow[(-1)^m\partial^Y_{m+k}]{} & Y_{m+k-1} \end{array}$$

Then $Z_m\mathrm{Hom}'(X,Y) = \mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^{-m}(Y))$ for every $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the differential map $\delta_m : \mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^{-m}(Y))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}(X,\Sigma^{-m+1}(Y))$ is given by $\delta(g) = (\partial^Y_{m+k}\circ g_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence the result follows for $i=0$.

Now consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where P is a projective chain complex. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & \mathrm{Hom}(X, \Sigma^{-m-1}(Y)) & \to & \mathrm{Hom}(P, \Sigma^{-m-1}(Y)) & \to & \mathrm{Hom}(K, \Sigma^{-m-1}(Y)) & \to & \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, \Sigma^{-m-1}(Y)) \to 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(X, \Sigma^{-m}(Y)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(P, \Sigma^{-m}(Y)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(K, \Sigma^{-m}(Y)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, \Sigma^{-m}(Y)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & \mathrm{Hom}(X, \Sigma^{-m+1}(Y)) & \to & \mathrm{Hom}(P, \Sigma^{-m+1}(Y)) & \to & \mathrm{Hom}(K, \Sigma^{-m+1}(Y)) & \to & \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, \Sigma^{-m+1}(Y)) \to 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
\end{array}$$

Also, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(P, Y) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(K, Y) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, Y) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, Y)$ is the complex given by the right column of the previous diagram. The rest follows by induction.

□

Proposition 2.4. [5, Proposition 4.2.1] *Given three chain complexes X, Y and Z, we have the following isomorphisms of complexes:*

(1) $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X \overline{\otimes} Y, Z) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(Y, Z))$.
(2) If R is commutative, then $X \overline{\otimes} Y \cong Y \overline{\otimes} X$.
(3) $X \overline{\otimes} (Y \overline{\otimes} Z) \cong (X \overline{\otimes} Y) \overline{\otimes} Z$.

Definition 2.5. *Given a left R-module M, the* **Pontryagin** *or* **character module** *of M is the right R-module $M^+ = \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Given a chain complex*

$$X = \cdots \longrightarrow X_{m+1} \xrightarrow{f_{m+1}} X_m \xrightarrow{f_m} X_{m-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{–}\mathbf{Mod}),$$

the **Pontryagin** *or* **character complex** *of X is the complex $X^+ \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{–}R)$ given by*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m-1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_m^{X^+}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}^{X^+}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m+1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\partial_m^{X^+} := (-1)^{m-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\partial_{-m}^X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 2.5. $X^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$, *where $D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the complex*

$$(D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 0, -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

whose differential map $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the identity.

Proof. Recall that $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m = Z_m\mathrm{Hom}'(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$, i.e. the kernel of the differential $\delta_m : \mathrm{Hom}'(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}'(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Hom}'(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m = \prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_Z(X_k, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{k+m}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m-1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}'(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_{m-1} = \prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_Z(X_k, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{k+m-1}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m+1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Every $f = (f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{Hom}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m$ has the form $f = (\cdots, 0, f_{-m-1}, f_{-m}, 0, \cdots)$. Now suppose $\delta_m(f) = 0$. Then we have $\partial^{D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}_{k+m} \circ f_k - (-1)^m f_{k-1} \circ \partial^X_k = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular:

(1) For $k = -m$: $0 = \partial^{D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}_0 \circ f_{-m} - (-1)^m f_{-m-1} \circ \partial^X_{-m}$ and so $f_{-m} = (-1)^m f_{-m-1} \circ \partial^X_{-m}$.
(2) For $k = -m+1$: $0 = \partial^{D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}_1 \circ f_{-m+1} - (-1)^m f_{-m} \circ \partial^X_{-m+1} = f_{-m} \circ \partial^X_{-m+1}$.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{-m+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^X_{-m+1}} & X_{-m} & \xrightarrow{\partial^X_{-m}} & X_{-m-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{-m} & & \downarrow f_{-m-1} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow[=]{} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Define a map $\varphi_m : \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m \longrightarrow (X^+)_m = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_{-m-1}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ by setting $\varphi_m(f) = (-1)^m f_{-m-1}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that φ_m is an isomorphism. We show that $\varphi = (\varphi_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain map, i.e. that the following diagram commutes for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m & \xrightarrow{\partial^{\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))}_m} & \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_{m-1} \\
\varphi_m \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{m-1} \\
(X^+)_m & \xrightarrow[\partial^{X^+}_m]{} & (X^+)_{m-1}
\end{array}$$

Let $f = (f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))_m$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{X^+}_m \circ \varphi_m(f) &= \partial^{X^+}_m((-1)^m f_{-m-1}) = (-1)^{m-1} \cdot (-1)^m \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\partial^X_{-m}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})(f_{-m-1}) \\
&= (-1)^{m-1} \cdot \left[(-1)^m f_{-m-1} \circ \partial^X_{-m}\right] = (-1)^{m-1} f_{-m}, \\
\varphi_{m-1} \circ \partial^{\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))}_m(f) &= \varphi_{m-1}\left(\left(\partial^{D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}_{m+k} \circ f_k\right)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\right) = \varphi_{m-1}(\cdots, 0, f_{-m}, 0, \cdots) \\
&= (-1)^{m-1} f_{-m}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.6. *Let X and Y be two chain complexes. For every $i > 0$, we have the following isomorphisms of complexes:*

(1) $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i(X, Y^+) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(X, Y)^+$.
(2) If R is commutative, then $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(X, Y) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(X, Y)$.

Proof. We only proof (1), part (2) follows similarly. The case $i = 1$ is stated in [5, Lemma 5.4.2]. We use induction on $i > 0$. Suppose $i = 1$. Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where P is a projective complex. Apply the functors $-\overline{\otimes}Y$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(-, Y^+)$, we get the long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cdots \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y) \longrightarrow K\overline{\otimes}Y \longrightarrow P\overline{\otimes}Y \longrightarrow X\overline{\otimes}Y \longrightarrow 0,\\
&0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y^+) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(P,Y^+) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(K,Y^+) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X,Y^+) \longrightarrow \cdots .
\end{aligned}$$

Then apply $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(-, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ to the first sequence, since $D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is an injective chain complex, we get the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X\overline{\otimes}Y, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(P\overline{\otimes}Y, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(K\overline{\otimes}Y, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow\\
&\longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y), D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \cdots\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad =\\
&0 \longrightarrow (X\overline{\otimes}Y)^+ \longrightarrow (P\overline{\otimes}Y)^+ \longrightarrow (K\overline{\otimes}Y)^+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y)^+ \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

Using the first isomorphism of Proposition 2.4, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (X\overline{\otimes}Y)^+ & \longrightarrow & (P\overline{\otimes}Y)^+ & \longrightarrow & (K\overline{\otimes}Y)^+ & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y)^+ \longrightarrow \cdots\\
 & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\\
0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X,Y^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(P,Y^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(K,Y^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X,Y^+) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

By the Five Lemma, $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y)^+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X,Y^+)$ is an isomorphism. Now suppose $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{i-1}(X,Y)^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{i-1}(X,Y^+)$ for $i > 1$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{i-1}(P,Y)^+ & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{i-1}(K,Y)^+ & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(X,Y)^+ & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(P,Y)^+ \longrightarrow \cdots\\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{i-1}(P,Y^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{i-1}(K,Y^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{i}(X,Y^+) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{i}(P,Y^+) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

Since P is projective and flat, we have $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_j(P,Y)^+ = 0$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^j(P,Y^+) = 0$, for every $j \geq 1$. Then it follows that $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(X,Y)^+ \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i(X,Y^+)$ is an isomorphism. □

3 Cotorsion and bar-cotorsion pairs

In this section we shall study some properties of cotorsion pairs. Roughly speaking, a cotorsion pair in an abelian category is formed by two classes of objects which are orthogonal to each other with respect to the extension functor.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two classes in an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ form a* **cotorsion pair** *$(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ if:*

(1) $\mathcal{C} = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{D} = \{C \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) : \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(C,D) = 0, \text{ for every } D \in \mathcal{D}\}$, *and*
(2) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \{D \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A}) : \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(C,D) = 0, \text{ for every } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

We shall work with cotorsion pairs in R−**Mod** and **Ch**(R−**Mod**). In the particular case of **Ch**(R−**Mod**), we may also consider the bar-extension functor $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}$. Some interesting result arise when we replace Ext by $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}$ in the definition of cotorsion pair.

Definition 3.2. *Now let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two classes of chain complexes, we shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ form a* **bar-cotorsion pair** *$\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ if:*

(1) $\mathcal{C} = {}^{\overline{\perp}}\mathcal{D} = \{C \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}) : \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,D) = 0, \text{ for every } D \in \mathcal{D}\}$, *and*
(2) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^{\overline{\perp}} = \{D \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}) : \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,D) = 0, \text{ for every } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. [2] *Let X and Y be two chain complexes. Then there is an isomorphism of groups $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\Sigma^{-k}(X),Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(X,\Sigma^k(Y))$, for every $i \geq 1$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ be a bar-cotorsion pair. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under suspensions if, and only if, $\mathcal{D}$ is. The same result holds if $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a cotorsion pair.*

Proof. Suppose $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ is a bar-cotorsion pair and that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under suspensions. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that the complexes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(C,\Sigma^{k+1}(\Sigma^m(D))) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(C,\Sigma^k(\Sigma^m(D))) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(C,\Sigma^{k-1}(\Sigma^m(D))) \longrightarrow \cdots \text{ and}$$
$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^{-m}(C),\Sigma^{k+1}(D)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^{-m}(C),\Sigma^k(D)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^{-m}(C),\Sigma^{k-1}(D)) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

are isomorphic. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,\Sigma^m(D)) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(\Sigma^{-m}(C),D) = 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, since $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under suspensions. Hence the result follows. The converse can be proven similarly. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two classes in* **Ch**(R−**Mod**) *such that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under suspensions. Then $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ is a bar-cotorsion pair if, and only if, $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a cotorsion pair.*

Proof. Suppose $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ is a bar-cotorsion pair. First, we show $\mathcal{C} = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{D}$. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,D) = 0$. By Remark 2.3, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(C,D) = 0$ and so $C \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{D}$. Now if $C \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{D}$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(C,D) = 0$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is closed under suspensions by Lemma 3.2, we have $\Sigma^k(D) \in \mathcal{D}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(C,\Sigma^k(D)) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,D) = 0$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and so $C \in {}^{\overline{\perp}}\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}$.

Now we show $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,D) = 0$ and hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1(C,D) = 0$. We have $D \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. Now let $D \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(C,D) = 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under suspensions, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^{-k}(C),D) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(C,\Sigma^k(D)) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 3.1. It follows $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(C,D) = 0$ and so $D \in \mathcal{C}^{\overline{\perp}} = \mathcal{D}$.

The converse can be proven in a similar way.

□

Definition 3.3. *Let $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ be a cotorsion pair in R−**Mod** (or in **Ch**(R−**Mod**)).*

(1) $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is **cogenerated** *by a set $\mathcal{S}\subseteq\mathcal{C}$ if $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$.*
(2) $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is **complete** *if for every module (or complex) X there are short exact sequences*

$$0\longrightarrow D\longrightarrow C\longrightarrow X\longrightarrow 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad 0\longrightarrow X\longrightarrow D'\longrightarrow C'\longrightarrow 0$$

where $C,C'\in\mathcal{C}$ and $D,D'\in\mathcal{D}$.

Remark 3.1. *Every cotorsion pair in R−**Mod** (or in **Ch**(R−**Mod**)) cogenerated by a set is complete. This result is known as the Eklof and Trlifaj Theorem (see [3]).*

Definition 3.4. *A bar-cotorsion pair $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ in **Ch**(R−**Mod**) is* **bar-cogenerated** *by a set $\mathcal{S}\subseteq\mathcal{C}$ if $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{S}^{\overline{\perp}}$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two classes in **Ch**(R−**Mod**), and let $\mathcal{S}\subseteq\mathcal{C}$ be a set closed under suspensions. If $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\mathcal{S}$, then $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ is a bar-cotorsion pair bar-cogenerated by $\mathcal{S}$. The converse is also true.*

Proof. Suppose $(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})$ is cogenerated by $\mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{S}^{\perp}$, which is closed under suspensions by Lemma 3.2 (since $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under suspensions). By the same lemma, $\mathcal{C}$ is also closed under suspensions, and hence by Theorem 3.1 we have $\overline{(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D})}$ is a bar-cotorsio pair. It is only left to show that $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{S}^{\overline{\perp}}$. Let $D\in\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S,D)=0$ for every $S\in\mathcal{S}$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under suspensions, we have $\Sigma^{-k}(S)\in\mathcal{S}$ for every $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and every $S\in\mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S,\Sigma^k(D))\cong\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^{-k}(S),D)=0$, for every $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and every $S\in\mathcal{S}$. It follows $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(S,D)=0$ for every $S\in\mathcal{S}$, i.e. $D\in\mathcal{S}^{\overline{\perp}}$. Now suppose $D\in\mathcal{S}^{\overline{\perp}}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(S,D)=0$ for every $S\in\mathcal{S}$. It follows $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S,D)=0$ for every $S\in\mathcal{S}$ and so $D\in\mathcal{S}^{\perp}=\mathcal{D}$.

The proof of the converse follows similarly.

□

Definition 3.5. *Let M be a left R-module. We shall say that a family $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ of submodules of M, indexed by some ordinal λ, is a* **continuous chain** *of M if:*

(1) $M_0=0$ and $M=\bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda}M_\alpha$.
(2) $M_\alpha\subseteq M_{\alpha'}$ whenever $\alpha\leq\alpha'$.
(3) $M_\beta=\bigcup_{\alpha<\beta}M_\alpha$ for every limit ordinal $\beta<\lambda$.

Continuous chains of complexes are defined in the same way.

Definition 3.6. *Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ be a continuous chain of M and $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of left R-modules. Then $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ is said to be an* **$\mathcal{S}$-filtration** *of M if $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an element in $\mathcal{S}$ for every $\alpha+1<\lambda$. Filtrations of complexes are defined in the same way.*

Lemma 3.3 (Eklof Lemma)**.**

*(1) Let X be a chain complex in **Ch**(R−**Mod**) (or a left R-module in R−**Mod**) and $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ be a continuous chain of subcomplexes (resp. submodules) of X. If $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S_{\alpha+1}/S_\alpha,Y)=0$ for every $\alpha+1<\lambda$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X,Y)=0$. In other words, $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ is a ${}^{\perp}Y$-filtration.*
*(2) Let X be a chain complex in **Ch**(R−**Mod**) and $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha<\lambda}$ be a continuous chain of subcomplexes of X. If $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(S_{\alpha+1}/S_\alpha,Y)=0$ for every $\alpha+1<\lambda$, then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X,Y)=0$.*

Proof. Part (1) is proven in [7, Lemma 3.1.2] for the category $R-\mathbf{Mod}$. The same argument applies to $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$. To prove (2), notice that $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(S_{\alpha+1}/S_\alpha, Y) = 0$ implies $\mathrm{Ext}^1(S_{\alpha+1}/S_\alpha, \Sigma^k(Y)) = 0$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Part (1), $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, \sigma^k(Y)) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, Y) = 0$. □

Proposition 3.1.

(1) Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ be a cotorsion pair in $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ or in $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$, and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a set. If every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has an $\mathcal{S}$-filtration, then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is cogenerated by $\mathcal{S}$.
(2) Let $\overline{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ be a bar-cotorsion pair in $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$, and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a set. If every $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has an $\mathcal{S}$-filtration, then $\overline{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ is bar-cogenerated by $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. The proof of (1) appears in [12, Proposition 3.2], and uses Part (1) of the Eklof Lemma. Part (2) is analogous. □

4 Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective model structures

Definition 4.1. *Given a class of left (or right) R-modules $\mathcal{F}$, we shall say that a module M is a left n-$\mathcal{F}$-**module** if there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow F^n \longrightarrow F^{n-1} \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

*where $F^i \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n$. If n is the smallest integer for which such a sequence exists, then we say that M has **left $\mathcal{F}$-dimension** equal to n. If such an integer n does not exist, we shall say that M has infinite left $\mathcal{F}$-dimension. Dually, one defines **right n-$\mathcal{F}$-modules** and **right $\mathcal{F}$-dimension**. This definition is also valid for chain complexes.*

Example 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ denote the classes of projective, injective and flat modules, respectively. The left $\mathcal{P}_0$-dimension (or $\mathcal{F}_0$-dimension) of a module coincides with its projective dimension (resp. flat dimension). Similarly, the right $\mathcal{I}_0$-dimension of a module coincides with its **injective dimension**.*

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$, $\mathcal{I}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_n$ denote the class of n-projective, n-injective and n-flat modules, respectively. We get an interesting coincidence when we work over a Gorenstein ring. Namely, if R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{F}_n$.

Definition 4.2. *A ring R (not necessarily commutative) is called an **Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring** if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) R is both left and right Noetherian.
(2) R has finite injective dimension as either a left or right R-module.

It is known that if R is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring, then the injective dimensions of R as either a left or right R-module coincide. So if R is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring with injective dimension equal to n, we shall say that R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring.

Theorem 4.1. [4, Theorem 9.1.10] *Suppose R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring and M is a left (or right) R-module. Then*

$$\mathrm{id}(M) < \infty \iff \mathrm{pd}(M) < \infty \iff \mathrm{fd}(M) < \infty \iff \mathrm{id}(M) \leq n \iff \mathrm{pd}(M) \leq n \iff \mathrm{fd}(M) \leq n.$$

Definition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a class of objects in an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ with enough projective and injective objects. Consider a short exact sequence*

$$(*) : 0 \longrightarrow D' \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow D'' \longrightarrow 0.$$

We shall say that:

(1) $\mathcal{D}$ is **closed under extensions** *if for every short exact sequence $(*)$, $D', D'' \in \mathcal{D} \Longrightarrow D \in \mathcal{D}$.*
(2) $\mathcal{D}$ is **closed under taking cokernels of monomorphisms** *if for every short exact sequence $(*)$, $D', D \in \mathcal{D} \Longrightarrow D'' \in \mathcal{D}$.*
(3) $\mathcal{D}$ is **closed under taking kernels of epimorphisms** *if for every short exact sequence $(*)$, $D, D'' \in \mathcal{D} \Longrightarrow D' \in \mathcal{D}$.*
(4) $\mathcal{D}$ is **closed under retracts** *if for every sequence $D \xrightarrow{f} D' \xrightarrow{g} D$ with $D' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_D$, then $D \in \mathcal{D}$.*
(5) $\mathcal{D}$ is **resolving** *if it contains the class of projective objects and satisfies (1) and (3).*
(6) $\mathcal{D}$ is **coresolving** *if it contains the class of injective objects and satisfies (1) and (2).*
(7) $\mathcal{D}$ is **thick** *if it satisfies (1), (2), (3) and (4).*

Example 4.2.

(1) The class of projective objects in an abelian category is resolving. Dually, the class of injective objects is coresolving.
(2) The class of flat modules is resolving.
(3) The class $\mathcal{E}$ of exact complexes is thick.
(4) Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the class of all left R-modules with finite projective dimension, where R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. By the previous theorem, $\mathcal{W}$ is thick.

We shall see that the previous theorem also holds in the category of chain complexes $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$.

Definition 4.4. [6, Definition 3.3] *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of left (resp. right) R-modules. A chain complex $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ (resp. $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$) is said to be an $\mathcal{A}$-**complex** if X is exact and $Z_m(X) \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The class of $\mathcal{A}$-complexes is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proposition 4.1. [13, Proposition 4.4]

(1) $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_n}$ is the class of n-projective complexes.
(2) $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is the class of n-flat complexes.
(3) $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_n}$ is the class of n-injective complexes.

The following corollary follows from the previous proposition and Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring and $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ (or $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$). Then*

$$\mathrm{id}(X) < \infty \iff \mathrm{pd}(X) < \infty \iff \mathrm{fd}(X) < \infty \iff \mathrm{id}(X) \leq n \iff \mathrm{pd}(X) \leq n \iff \mathrm{fd}(X) \leq n.$$

The goal if this section is to generalize the constructions of the Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective model structures on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ to the category of chain complexes. We need to recall the definition of an abelian model structure, and how to obtain such a structure from two cotorsion pairs satisfying certain conditions. Recall that a model category is a bicomplete category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with three classes of morphisms called cofibrations, fibrations, and weak equivalence, satisfying certain conditions. We leave the details of the definition to the reader (see [11], for example).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a bicomplete abelian category with enough projective and injective objects, equipped with a model structure. An object $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is **cofibrant** if $0 \longrightarrow X$ is a cofibration; **fibrant** if $X \longrightarrow 0$ is a fibration; and **trivial** or **acyclic** if $0 \longrightarrow X$ is a weak equivalence.

Definition 4.5. *A model category $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be* **abelian** *if:*

(1) f is a (trivial) cofibration if, and only if, f is a monomorphism with (trivial) cofibrant cokernel.
(2) f is a (trivial) fibration if, and only if, f is an epimorphism with (trivial) fibrant kernel.

Definition 4.6. *Given two cotorsion pairs $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}')$ and $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F})$ in an abelian category, we shall say that they are* **compatible** *if there exists a class of objects $\mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{W}$.*

Theorem 4.2 (**Hovey's criterion**). *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{W})$ and $(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ be two compatible cotorsion pairs in a bicomplete abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ with enough projective and injective objects, where the class $\mathcal{W}$ is thick. Then there exists a unique abelian model structure on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $\mathcal{F}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects.*

The above theorem establish the connection existing between the theory of cotorsion pairs and the model categories. This theorem has a converse, but for the purpose of this paper, we are only interested in the given implication.

Now we recall the definition of Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective modules. Later, we shall define the Gorenstein flat modules.

Definition 4.7. *A left R-module M is called* **Gorenstein projective** *if there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{P}_0)$-exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of projective modules such that $M = \mathrm{Ker}(P_0 \longrightarrow P_1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{GP}$ the class of all Gorenstein projective modules.

Definition 4.8. *A left R-module M is called* **Gorenstein injective** *if there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{I}_0, -)$-exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of injective modules such that $M = \mathrm{Ker}(I_0 \longrightarrow I_1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{GI}$ the class of all Gorenstein injective modules.

Theorem 4.3 (**Hovey**). [10, Theorem 8.3] *Suppose R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the set of all n-syzygies in $\Omega^n(R/I)$, where I runs over the left ideals of R. Then $\mathcal{T}$ cogenerates a cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{GP}, \mathcal{W})$.*

M. Hovey also proved that $\mathcal{W}$ is the left half of a cotorsion pair cogenerated by a set, specifically:

Theorem 4.4 (Hovey). [10, Theorem 8.4] *Let R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of all modules $S \in \Omega^i(J)$, where $i \geq 0$ and J runs over the set of indecomposable injective modules. Then $\mathcal{S}$ cogenerates a cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{GI})$.*

Proposition 4.2. [10, Corollary 8.5] *Suppose R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring, then $\mathcal{GP} \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_0$ and $\mathcal{GI} \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{I}_0$.*

By the Hovey's Criterion and the previous results, it follows that there exist the following abelian model structures on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$, provided R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring:

- The **Gorenstein projective model structure**: The cofibrant objects are the Gorenstein projective modules, the whole category $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and the trivial objects are given by the class of modules with finite projective dimension.
- The **Gorenstein injective model structure**: Every module is cofibrant, the fibrant objects are the Gorenstein injective modules, and the trivial objects are given by the class of modules with finite injective dimension.

Now we construct the analogous of these model structures in the category of chain complexes.

Definition 4.9. *A chain complex X is* **Gorenstein projective** *if there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}(-, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0})$-exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of projective complexes such that $X = \mathrm{Ker}(P_0 \longrightarrow P_1)$. We shall denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{GP}}$ the class of Gorenstein projective complexes.

Definition 4.10. *A chain complex X is* **Gorenstein injective** *if there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0}, -)$-exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of injective complexes such that $X = \mathrm{Ker}(I_0 \longrightarrow I_1)$. We shall denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{GI}}$ the class of Gorenstein injective complexes.

Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_n} = \widetilde{\mathcal{I}_n} = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_n}$. In [5], it is proven that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GP}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}})$ is a complete cotorsion pair. This proof is direct in the sense that for every complex X it is constructed an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

where P is a Gorenstein projective complex and $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. Here we reprove this result, by generalizing Hovey's proof of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. *Let R be an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. The cotorsion pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{GP}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}})$ is cogenerated by the set $\mathcal{X} = \{X \in \Omega^n(S^m(R/I)) : m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } I \text{ is a left ideal of } R\} \cup \{\Sigma^k(S^0(R)) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Proof. We have to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$. Let $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and let $X \in \mathcal{X}$. If $X \in \Omega^n(S^m(R/I))$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some left ideal $I \subseteq R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, W) &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}(S^m(R/I), W) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, Z_m(W)) \text{ by [6, Lemma 3.1]} \\ &\cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(M, Z_m(W)) = 0 \text{ for some } M \in \Omega^n(R/I). \end{aligned}$$

Now if $X = \Sigma^k(S^0(R))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, W) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^k(S^0(R)), W) = 0 \text{ since } W \text{ is exact (see [2])}.$$

Hence, $W \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$. Now suppose $W \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Sigma^k(S^0(R)), W) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so W is exact. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, W) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and every left ideal $I \subseteq R$ implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, Z_m(W)) = 0$ for every $M \in \Omega^n(R/I)$, and hence $Z_m(W) \in \mathcal{W}$. We have proven that W is an exact complex with $Z_m(W) \in \mathcal{W}$, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. □

The pair $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0}, \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}))$ is also cogenerated by a set. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0} = \widehat{\mathcal{GP}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. So $(\widehat{\mathcal{GP}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0}, \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}))$ are two compatible complete cotorsion pairs. By the Hovey's Criterion, there exists a unique abelian model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{GP}}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call this structure the **Gorenstein projective model structure** on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$.

Definition 4.11. *A injective chain complex $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ is said to be* **indecomposable** *if $X = A$ and $B = 0$, or $X = B$ and $A = 0$, whenever A and B are injective subcomplexes of X such that $X = A \oplus B$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let R be a left Noetherian ring. Every injective complex $I \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ is the direct sum of indecomposable injective complexes.*

Proof. Let $I \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ be an injective chain complex. Then I is exact and $Z_m(I)$ is an injective R-module, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the following short exact sequence in $R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_{m+1}(I) \longrightarrow I_{m+1} \longrightarrow Z_m(I) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $Z_{m+1}(I)$ is an injective R-module, this sequence splits and so $I_{m+1} \cong Z_m(I) \oplus Z_{m+1}(I)$. It follows that $I \cong \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(Z_m(I))$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $Z_m(I) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha_m \in \Lambda_m} J_{\alpha_m}$, where J_{α_m} is an indecomposable injective module, since $Z_m(I)$ is injective and R is left Noetherian. Hence, we have $D^{m+1}(Z_m(I)) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha_m\in\Lambda_m} D^{m+1}(J_{\alpha_m})$, and so

$$I \cong \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha_m \in \Lambda_m} D^{m+1}(J_{\alpha_m}) \right) = \bigoplus \left\{ D^{m+1}(J_{\alpha_m}) : (\alpha_{m+k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\prod_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_{m+k} \right) \right\},$$

where each $D^{m+1}(J_{\alpha_m})$ is an indecomposable injective complex.

□

Remark 4.1. *A complex J is an indecomposable injective complex if and only if J is the disk complex of an indecomposable injective module. For if J is an indecomposable injective complex then write $J = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(Z_m(J))$, note that each $D^{m+1}(Z_m(J))$ is an injective complex, so it follows $D^{m_0+1}(Z_{m_0}(J)) \cong J$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $D^{m+1}Z_m(J) = 0$ for every $m \neq m_0$. Then J is a complex*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{=} I \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where the two I's are in the m_0+1-th and m_0-th place. It is only left to show that I is and indecomposable injective module. Suppose $I = A \oplus B$, where A and B are injective submodules of I. Then $J = D^{m_0+1}(A) \oplus D^{m_0+1}(B)$. Since $D^{m_0+1}(A)$ and $D^{m_0+1}(B)$ are injective complexes and J is indecomposable, we get $D^{m_0+1}(A) = J$ and $D^{m_0+1}(B) = 0$, or $D^{m_0+1}(B) = J$ and $D^{m_0+1}(A) = 0$.

Then $A = I$ and $B = 0$, or $A = 0$ and $B = I$. Hence, I is an indecomposable injective module. Now let $D^{m+1}(I)$ be a disk complex, where I is an indecomposable injective module. It is clear that $D^{m+1}(I)$ is an injective complex. Suppose $D^{m+1}(I) = X \oplus Y$, where X and Y are injective complexes. Then $I = A_m \oplus B_m$, $I = A_{m+1} \oplus B_{m+1}$, and $A_k, B_k = 0$ for every $k \neq m, m+1$. It follows $A_m = A_{m+1}$, $B_m = B_{m+1}$ and that A_m and B_m are injective modules. Since I is indecomposable, we get $A_m = I$ and $B_m = 0$, or $A_m = 0$ and $B_m = I$. Hence $A = D^{m+1}(I)$ and $B = 0$, or $A = 0$ and $B = D^{m+1}(I)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G \in \Omega^i(J) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and J is an indecomposable injective complex$\}$. Then $Y \in \mathcal{G}^\perp$ if, and only if, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(W, Y) = 0$ for every $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.*

Proof. Let $Y \in \mathcal{G}^\perp$. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(I, Y) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$ and every injective complex I. By the previous proposition and remark, we can write $I = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(J_m)$, where J_m is an indecomposable injective module for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(I, Y) &= \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}\left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(J_m), Y\right) \\
&\cong \prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(D^{m+1}(J_m), Y) \\
&\cong \prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(X^m, Y), \text{ where } X^m \in \Omega^i(D^{m+1}(J_m)), \text{ by Proposition 2.2} \\
&= 0, \text{ since } \mathrm{Ext}^1(G, Y) = 0 \text{ for every } G \in \mathcal{G}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\mathrm{Ext}^1(W, Y) = 0$ for every $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and let $X \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $X \in \Omega^i(J)$, for some indecomposable injective complex J and some $i \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(J, Y) = 0, \text{ since } J \text{ is an injective complex and } Y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\perp.$$

□

We conclude that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GI}})$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\mathcal{G}$. On then other hand, the cotorsion pair $(\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}), \widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0})$ is complete, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0} = \widehat{\mathcal{GI}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. So these two pairs are compatible, and by the Hovey's Criterion we get a unique abelian model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ such that $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $\widehat{\mathcal{GI}}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call this structure the **Gorenstein injective model structure** on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$.

The following result is proven in [5]. We give a simpler proof.

Proposition 4.5. *A complex X is Gorenstein projective if and only if X_m is a Gorenstein projective module, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Dually, a complex Y is Gorenstein injective if and only if Y_m is a Gorenstein injective module, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We only prove the Gorenstein projective case. Let X be a Gorenstein projective complex and let $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $D^{m+1}(W) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, D^{m+1}(W)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(X_m, W).$$

Hence $X_m \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{W}$, i.e. X_m is a Gorenstein projective module. Now let X be a chain complex such that X_m is a Gorenstein projective module. In order to show that X is Gorenstein projective, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(X,P)=0$, for every projective complex P and every $i\geq 0$. So let P be a projective chain complex and write $P\cong\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(Z_m(P))$, where each $Z_m(P)$ is a projective module. Note that in this case, $P\cong\prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(Z_m(P))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(X,P) &\cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}\left(X,\prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{m+1}(Z_m(P))\right)\\
&\cong \prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}(X,D^{m+1}(Z_m(P)))\cong\prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Ext}^{1}\left(S,D^{m+1}(Z_m(P))\right) \text{ where } S\in\Omega^i(X),\\
&\cong \prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Ext}^{1}_R(S_m,Z_m(P))\cong\prod_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_R(X_m,Z_m(P)) \text{ since } S_m\in\Omega^i(X_m),\\
&\cong 0, \text{ since } X_m \text{ is a Gorenstein projective module and } Z_m(P) \text{ is projective.}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, X is a Gorenstein projective complex. □

5 Gorenstein homological dimensions and model structures

Since $(\mathcal{GP},\mathcal{W})$ is a complete cotorsion pair, for every $M\in R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ there exists an epimorphism $C_0\longrightarrow M$ where C_0 is a Gorenstein projective module. This allows us to construct an exact sequence

$$\cdots\longrightarrow C_1\longrightarrow C_0\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow 0,$$

where C_k is a Gorenstein projective module, for every $k\geq 0$. We shall say that this sequence is a **left Gorenstein projective resolution** of M. So it makes sense to consider left r-$\mathcal{GP}$-modules, where r is a nonnegative integer. To simplify, we shall say that M is **Gorenstein r-projective** if it is a left r-$\mathcal{GP}$-module. Let $\mathcal{GP}_r$ denote the class of Gorenstein r-projective modules. We shall denote by $\mathrm{Gpd}(M)$ the (left) Gorenstein projective dimension of M. Note that $\mathcal{GP}_r=\{M\in R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}:\mathrm{Gpd}(M)\leq r\}$ and that $\mathcal{GP}_0=\mathcal{GP}$. For the rest of the section assume that R is an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring.

Proposition 5.1. [4, Proposition 11.5.7] *The following are equivalent:*

(1) M is Gorenstein r-projective.
(2) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M,W)=0$ for all $i>r$ and for all $W\in\mathcal{W}$.
(3) $\mathrm{Ext}^{r+1}_R(M,W)=0$ for all $W\in\mathcal{W}$.
(4) Every rth Gorenstein projective syzygy of M is Gorenstein projective.
(5) Every rth projective syzygy of M is Gorenstein projective.

Corollary 5.1.

(1) $\mathrm{Gpd}(M)\leq n$.
(2) For every $0\leq r\leq n$, $\mathcal{GP}_r\cap\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{P}_r$.

Proof. Let $W\in\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathrm{id}(W)\leq n$ and so $\mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M,W)=0$. By the previous proposition, we have $M\in\mathcal{GP}_n$.

The inclusion $\mathcal{P}_r \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_r \cap \mathcal{W}$ is clear. Now let $M \in \mathcal{GP}_r \cap \mathcal{W}$. Then every $G \in \Omega^r(M)$ is in $\mathcal{GP}$. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is thick, we also have $G \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{GP} \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_0$. It follows $M \in \mathcal{P}_r$.

□

Proposition 5.2. *Let $(M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda)$ be a family of left R-modules. Then:*

(1) $\operatorname{Gpd}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda\right) = \sup\{\operatorname{Gpd}(M_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ *[9, Proposition 2.19].*
(2) $\operatorname{Gid}\left(\prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda\right) = \sup\{\operatorname{Gid}(M_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.
(3) $\operatorname{Gfd}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\alpha\right) = \sup\{\operatorname{Gfd}(M_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

Proof.

(1) The proof of (1) we give here is different to the one appearing in [9]. Let $r_\lambda = \operatorname{Gpd}(M_\lambda)$. Note that $0 \leq r_\lambda \leq n$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M_\lambda, -)|_{\mathcal{W}} \equiv 0$ for every $i > r_\lambda$. Let $r = \sup(\operatorname{Gpd}(M_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda)$ and $i > r$. We have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_R\left(\bigoplus |_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda, -\right)|_{\mathcal{W}} \cong \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M_\lambda, -)|_{\mathcal{W}} = 0.$$

It follows $\operatorname{Gpd}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda\right) \leq r$. For the other inequality, we shall prove that $\operatorname{Gpd}(M_\lambda) \leq \operatorname{Gpd}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda\right)$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda$. Assume $\operatorname{Gpd}(M) = m < \infty$. Since each M_λ is a direct summand of M, write $M = M_\lambda \oplus G$. For every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{m+1}_R(M_\lambda, W) \times \operatorname{Ext}^{m+1}_R(G, W) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{m+1}_R(M, W) = 0.$$

Then $\operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M_\lambda, W) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, i.e. $M_\lambda \in \mathcal{GP}_m$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Gpd}(M_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \leq m = \operatorname{Gpd}\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} M_\lambda\right).$$

(2) Similar to (1).
(3) The equality follows by the following property:

$$\operatorname{Tor}^R_i\left(\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha, \bigoplus_\beta B_\beta\right) \cong \bigoplus_\alpha \bigoplus_\beta \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(A_\alpha, B_\beta).$$

□

It is known that $(\mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_r^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair. By the corollary above, we can write $(\mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_r^\perp) = (\mathcal{GP}_r \cap \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{P}_r^\perp)$. It suffices to show $\mathcal{GP}_r^\perp = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{P}_r^\perp$ in order to prove that $(\mathcal{GP}_r, (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp)$ and $(\mathcal{P}_r, (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp)$ are compatible. First, we show that $\mathcal{GP}_r$ cogenerates a cotorsion pair. Later we shall see that the completeness of $(\mathcal{GP}_r, (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp)$ implies the last equality.

Proposition 5.3. *$(\mathcal{GP}_r, (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair for every $1 \leq r \leq n$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that ${}^\perp((\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_r$. Let $M \in {}^\perp((\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp)$. Consider a left partial projective resolution of M, say

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow P_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Proposition 5.1, it suffices to show that G is a Gorenstein projective module. Suppose $r = 1$ and let $W \in \mathcal{W}$. We have the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(P_0, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(G, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(M, W) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(P_0, W) = 0$ since P_0 is projective. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Ext}^2_R(M, W) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, L)$, where $L \in \Omega^{-1}(W)$. We show $L \in (\mathcal{GP}_1)^\perp$. Let $K \in \mathcal{GP}_1$ and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

where I is injective. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(K, I) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(K, L) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(K, W) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(K, I) = 0$ since I is injective, and $\mathrm{Ext}^2_R(K, W) = 0$ since $K \in \mathcal{GP}_1$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(K, L) = 0$ for every $K \in \mathcal{GP}_1$, i.e. $L \in (\mathcal{GP}_1)^\perp$. It follows $\mathrm{Ext}^2_R(M, W) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(G, W) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, i.e. $G \in \mathcal{GP}$.

Suppose the result is true for every $1 \leq j \leq r-1$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow P_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $L \in \Omega^1(M)$, and a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $K \in (\mathcal{GP}_{r-1})^\perp$. We have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(L, K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, K')$ where $K' \in \Omega^{-1}(K)$. Let $N \in \mathcal{GP}_r$. Then $N' \in \mathcal{GP}_{r-1}$, for every $N' \in \Omega^1(N)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(N, K') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(N', K) = 0.$$

So $K' \in (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp$. It follows $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(L, K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, K') = 0$, for every $K \in (\mathcal{GP}_{r-1})^\perp$. Hence $L \in {}^\perp((\mathcal{GP}_{r-1})^\perp) = \mathcal{GP}_{r-1}$. It follows $M \in \mathcal{GP}_r$.

□

Theorem 5.1. *$(\mathcal{GP}_r, (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by a set, and so it is complete.*

Proof. Consider the cogenerating set $\mathcal{T}$ of $(\mathcal{GP}, \mathcal{W})$. On the other hand, it is known that $(\mathcal{P}_r, (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp)$ is cogenerated by the set $\mathcal{P}_r^{\leq\kappa} := \{M \in \mathcal{P}_r : \mathrm{Card}(M) \leq \kappa\}$, where $\kappa \geq \mathrm{Card}(R)$ is a fixed infinite cardinal number. Set $\mathcal{G}_r := \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{P}_r^{\leq\kappa}$. We prove $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp = (\mathcal{G}_r)^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{G}_r \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_r$, we have $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp \subseteq (\mathcal{G}_r)^\perp$. Now let $N \in (\mathcal{G}_r)^\perp$, and consider $M \in \mathcal{GP}_r$. Since $(\mathcal{GP}, \mathcal{W})$ is a complete cotorsion pair, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

where $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $G \in \mathcal{GP}$. Then $W \in \mathcal{GP}_r \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_r$. We apply the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Ext}(-, N)$ and obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(W, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(G, N) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Ext}^2_R(G, N) = 0$, since $N \in (\mathcal{G}_r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\perp = \mathcal{W}$ and $(\mathcal{GP}, \mathcal{W})$ is hereditary. On the other hand, $N \in (\mathcal{P}_r^{\leq\kappa})^\perp = (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp$ and $W \in \mathcal{P}_r$, so $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(W, N) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, N) = 0$ for every $M \in \mathcal{GP}_r$, i.e. $N \in (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp$. □

Corollary 5.2. $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp = \mathcal{W} \cap (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp$.

Proof. The argument we give in this proof is similar to [8, Proof of Theorem 3.12]. Since $\mathcal{GP} \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_r$ and $\mathcal{P}_r \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_r$, we get $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{GP}^\perp = \mathcal{W}$ and $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp \subseteq (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp$, and so $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{W} \cap (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp$. Let $N \in \mathcal{W} \cap (\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp$. Since $(\mathcal{GP}_r, (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp)$ is complete by the previous theorem, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

where $K \in (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp$ and $C \in \mathcal{GP}_r$. Since $\mathcal{W}$ is thick and $N, K \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $C \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $C \in \mathcal{GP}_r \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_r$ and hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(C, N) = 0$. It follows $K \cong N \oplus C$. Since $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp$ is closed under direct summands and $K \in (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp$, we get $N \in (\mathcal{GP}_r)^\perp$. □

From the above results, there exists a unique abelian model strucutre on $R-$**Mod** where $\mathcal{GP}_r$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $(\mathcal{P}_r)^\perp$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call this structure the **Corenstein r-projective model structure** on $R-$**Mod**.

Dually, the notion of Gorenstein injective dimension gives rise to a similar model structure. As we noted for the class $\mathcal{GP}$, it makes sense to consider right r-$\mathcal{GI}$-modules. We shall say that a module M is **Gorenstein r-injective** if it is a right r-$\mathcal{GI}$-module. We shall denote the class of Gorenstein r-injective modules by $\mathcal{GI}_r$. Let $\mathrm{Gid}(M)$ denote the (right) Gorenstein injective dimension of M. We have $\mathcal{GI}_r = \{M \in R-\mathbf{Mod} : \mathrm{Gid}(M) \leq r\}$ and $\mathcal{GI}_0 = \mathcal{GI}$.

Proposition 5.4. [4, Proposition 11.2.5] *The following are equivalent:*

(1) N is Gorenstein r-injective.
(2) $\mathrm{Ext}^i(W, N) = 0$ for all $i > r$ and for all $W \in \mathcal{P}$.
(3) $\mathrm{Ext}^{r+1}(W, N) = 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{P}$.
(4) Every rth Gorenstein injective cosyzygy of N is Gorenstein injective.
(5) Every rth injective cosyzygy of N is Gorenstein injective.

Proposition 5.5.

(1) $\mathcal{GI}_r \cap \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{I}_r$.
(2) $({}^\perp(\mathcal{GI}_r), \mathcal{GI}_r)$ is a cotorsion pair.

Theorem 5.2. *$({}^\perp(\mathcal{GI}_r), \mathcal{GI}_r)$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by a set.*

Proof. Recall that $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{GI})$ is cogenerated by the set $\mathcal{S}$ of all $S \in \Omega^i(J)$ where $i \geq 0$ and J runs over the set of all indecomposable injective modules. Consider the set $\mathcal{S}(r)$ of all $S \in \Omega^i(J)$ where $i \geq r$ and J as above. We shall see that $({}^\perp(\mathcal{GI}_r), \mathcal{GI}_r)$ is cogenerated by $\mathcal{S}(r)$. First, we check $\mathcal{S}(r) \subseteq {}^\perp(\mathcal{GI}_r)$. Let $S \in \mathcal{S}(r)$ and consider $N \in \mathcal{GI}_r$. Then $S \in \Omega^i(J)$, for some $i \geq r$ and some indecomposable injective module J. We have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_R(J, N) = 0$, since $J \in \mathcal{W}$, $N \in \mathcal{GI}_r$ and $i + 1 \geq r + 1$.

Now we prove $\mathcal{GI}_r = (\mathcal{S}(r))^\perp$. It suffices to show $(\mathcal{S}(r))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_r$. Let $N \in (\mathcal{S}(r))^\perp$. Consider a partial right injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow I_0 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow I_{r-1} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0.$$

We prove that G is Gorenstein injective. Consider $S \in \mathcal{S}$, i.e. $S \in \Omega^i(J)$ for some indecomposable injective module J and $i \geq 0$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N' \longrightarrow I_{r-1} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0$$

where $N' \in \Omega^{1-r}(N)$. We have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S, I_{r-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S, G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(S, N') \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

On the one hand, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S, I_{r-1}) = 0$ since I_{r-1} is injective. On the other hand,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2_R(S, N') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{r+1}_R(S, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S', N), \text{ where } S' \in \Omega^r(S).$$

Since $S \in \Omega^i(J)$, we have $S' \in \Omega^r(\Omega^i(J)) = \Omega^{r+i}(J)$ with $r + i \geq r$, and so $S' \in \mathcal{S}(r)$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^2_R(S, N') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S', N) = 0$. It follows $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(S, G) = 0$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, i.e. G is Gorenstein injective. Therefore, $(\mathcal{S}(r))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_r$.

□

Corollary 5.3. ${}^\perp(\mathcal{GI}_r) = {}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_r) \cap \mathcal{W}$.

From the results above, we conclude that there is a unique abelian model structure on $R-$**Mod** where ${}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_r)$ is the class of cofibrant objetcs, $\mathcal{GI}_r$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call this structure the **Gorenstein r-injective model structure** on $R-$**Mod**.

Now we generalize the Gorenstein r-projective and the Gorenstein r-injective models to the category of chain complexes $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$. Gorenstein r-projective (resp. r-injective) complexes are defined in the same way as the Gorenstein r-projective (resp. r-injective) modules. Same for Gorenstein homological dimensions in $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$. We shall denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_r}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{GI}_r}$ the classes of Gorenstein r-projective and Gorenstein r-injective complexes, respectively.

Proposition 5.6. *Let X be a chain complex. Then:*

(1) X is Gorenstein r-projective iff X_m is a Gorenstein r-projective module for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(2) X is Gorenstein r-injective iff X_m is a Gorenstein r-injective module for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We only proof (1), Part (2) follows similarly. Let X be a Gorenstein r-projective chain complex. Then there exists an exact sequence in $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$

$$0 \longrightarrow P^r \longrightarrow P^{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

such that P^i is a Gorenstein projective complex for every $0 \leq i \leq r$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have an exact sequence in $R-\mathbf{Mod}$

$$0 \longrightarrow P^r_m \longrightarrow P^{r-1}_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1_m \longrightarrow P^0_m \longrightarrow X_m \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since each P^i is a Gorenstein projective complex, we have that P^i_m is a Gorenstein projective module. So the previous exact sequence turns out to be a right Gorenstein projective resolution of X_m of length r, i.e. $X_m \in \mathcal{GP}_r$. Now suppose that X_m is a Gorenstein r-projective module for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider a partial left projective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow G^r \longrightarrow P^{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0.$$

It suffices to show that G^r is a Gorenstein projective chain complex. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G^r_m \longrightarrow P^{r-1}_m \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1_m \longrightarrow P^0_m \longrightarrow X_m \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that each P^i_m is a projective module. Since $X_m \in \mathcal{GP}_r$, we have $G^r_m \in \Omega^r(X_m) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}$. Hence G^r is a Gorenstein projective complex. □

As we did in the category $R-\mathbf{Mod}$, we can prove that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_r})^\perp)$ and $({}^\perp(\widehat{\mathcal{GI}_r}), \widehat{\mathcal{GI}_r})$ are complete cotorsion pairs. Moreover, we can see that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_r})^\perp)$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r}, (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^\perp)$ are compatible. So there exists a unique abelian model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{GP}_r}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^\perp$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is the class of trivial objects. Similarly, there is a unique abelian model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$ such that ${}^\perp(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_r})$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $\widehat{\mathcal{GI}_r}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call these structures the **Gorenstein r-projective model structure** and the **Gorenstein r-injective model structure** on $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$, respectively.

6 The Gorenstein flat dimension

In addition to the notions of Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein injective modules, there is also the notion of Gorenstein flat modules. In [8], the authors construct an abelian model structure on $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ where the cofibrant objects are the Gorenstein flat modules, the fibrant objects are the cotorsion modules, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects. This structure is known as the **Gorenstein flat model structure**. We generalize the construction of this structure to the category of complexes, using the notion of bar-cotorsion pairs. Later on we study the concept of Gorenstein flat dimension and construct related model structures on both $R-\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$.

Definition 6.1. *A left R-module $M \in R-\mathbf{Mod}$ is said to be* **Gorenstein flat** *if there exists an $(\mathcal{I}_0 \otimes_R -)$-exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of flat modules such that $M = \mathrm{Ker}(F^0 \longrightarrow F^1)$.

Theorem 6.1. [4, Theorem 10.3.8] *Let R be an n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) M is a Gorenstein flat left R-module.
(2) $\mathrm{Tor}^R_i(I, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all injective right R-modules I.
(3) $\mathrm{Tor}^R_i(I, M) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all injective right R-modules I.
(4) M^+ is a Gorenstein injective right R-module.
(5) $\mathrm{Tor}^R_1(W, M) = 0$ for all right R-modules $W \in \mathcal{W}$.
(6) $\mathrm{Tor}^R_i(W, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all right R-modules $W \in \mathcal{W}$.

Let $\mathcal{GF}$ be the class of Gorenstein flat left R-modules. We shall call $\mathcal{GC} = \mathcal{GF}^\perp$ the class of **Gorenstein cotorsion modules**. In [8], the authors mention (without a proof) that $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ is a complete cotorsion pair. We shall prove this fact in this section. First, recall the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. [4, Theorem 3.2.1] *Let R and S be rings, M a left R-module, N an (S, R)-bimodule. If I is an injective left S-module, then for all $i \geq 0$:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_S(N, I)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathrm{Tor}^R_i(N, M), I)$$

Proposition 6.1. *$(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ is a cotorsion pair.*

Proof. It is only left to show that ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{GC} \subseteq \mathcal{GF}$. Let $M \in {}^{\perp}\mathcal{GC}$. By Theorem 6.1, we only need to show that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, M) = 0$ for every right R-module $W \in \mathcal{W}$. So let $W \in \mathcal{W}$. By the previous theorem, we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, M)^+ = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, M), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(M, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(M, W^+) \quad (*).$$

Now we show that W^+ is Gorenstein cotorsion. Let F be a Gorenstein flat left R-module. Then we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(F, W^+) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, F)^+ = 0$. It follows $W^+ \in \mathcal{GC}$ and hence $\mathrm{Ext}_r^1(M, W^+) = 0$. By the equality $(*)$, we get $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, M) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. □

Now we shall prove that $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ is cogenerated by a set.

Definition 6.2. *A submodule N of a left R-module M is said to be* **$\mathcal{W}$-pure** *if for every right R-module $W \in \mathcal{W}$, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W \otimes_R N \longrightarrow W \otimes_R M \longrightarrow W \otimes_R M/N \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/N \longrightarrow 0$$

is called a **$\mathcal{W}$-pure exact sequence**.

Proposition 6.2. *Let S be a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule of a Gorenstein flat left R-module F. Then S and F/S are also Gorenstein flat.*

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F/S \longrightarrow 0$. Then, given a right R-module $W \in \mathcal{W}$, we have the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, F/S) \longrightarrow W \otimes_R S \longrightarrow W \otimes_R F \longrightarrow W \otimes_R F/S \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since S is a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule of F, we have that the map $W \otimes_R S \longrightarrow W \otimes_R F$ is injective for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. So $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, F/S) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Hence F/S is a Gorenstein flat module. Now let $W \in \mathcal{W}$. We have $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, F) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, F/S) = 0$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Tor}_2^R(W, F/S) = \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K, F/S)$ where $K \in \Omega^1(W)$. Consider a short exact sequence in $\mathbf{Mod}{-}R$

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $P, W \in \mathcal{W}$ (P is projective). Since $\mathcal{W}$ is thick, we have $K \in \mathcal{W}$, and so $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(K, F/S) = 0$. Then we have $\mathrm{Tor}_2^R(W, F/S) = 0$. Since the sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_2^R(W, F/S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, F) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is exact, we finally obtain $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, S) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$.

□

Definition 6.3. *A left R-module A is said to be* **$\mathcal{W}$-pure injective** *if for every $\mathcal{W}$-pure exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/N \longrightarrow 0,$$

the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M/N, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Lemma 6.1. *For every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, the character module W^+ is $\mathcal{W}$-pure injective.*

Proof. Consider a $\mathcal{W}$-pure exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$0 \longrightarrow W \otimes_R N \longrightarrow W \otimes_R M \longrightarrow W \otimes_R M/N \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is an injective left $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W \otimes_R M/N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W \otimes_R M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W \otimes_R N, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M/N, W^+) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, W^+) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, W^+) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

□

Lemma 6.2. [4, Lemma 5.3.12] *Let M and N be left R-modules. Then there exists a cardinal number $\aleph_\alpha$ dependent on* $\mathrm{Card}(N)$ *and* $\mathrm{Card}(R)$ *such that for any morphism $f : N \longrightarrow M$, there is a pure submodule S of M such that $f(N) \subseteq S$ and* $\mathrm{Card}(S) \leq \aleph_\alpha$.

Proposition 6.3. *Given an infinite cardinal $\kappa \geq \mathrm{Card}(R)$, a Gorenstein flat module F and an element $x \in F$. There exists a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule $S \subseteq F$ such that $x \in S$ and* $\mathrm{Card}(S) \leq \kappa$.

Proof. Same proof given in [4, Proposition 7.4.3] □

Given $F \in \mathcal{GF}$ and $x \in F$, there exists a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule $S_1 \subseteq F$ such that $x \in S_1$ and $\mathrm{Card}(S_1) \leq \kappa$. By Proposition 6.2, S_1 and F/S_1 are Gorenstein flat modules. Let $x_1 + S_1 \in F/S_1 - \{0\}$. Then there exists a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule $S_2/S_1 \subseteq F/S_1$ such that $x_1 + S_1 \in S_2/S_1$ and $\mathrm{Card}(S_2/S_1) \leq \kappa$. Repeating this procedure, we can construct a continuos chain $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha<\lambda}$ of submodules of F, for some ordinal λ, such that $S_1 \in \mathcal{GF}^{\leq\kappa}$, $S_{\alpha+1}/S_\alpha \in \mathcal{GF}^{\leq\kappa}$, and $S_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha<\beta} S_\alpha$, for every limit ordinal $\beta < \alpha$, where $\mathcal{GF}^{\leq\kappa} := \{S \in \mathcal{GF} : \mathrm{Card}(S) \leq \kappa\}$. In other words, every Gorenstein flat left R-module F has a $\mathcal{GF}^{\leq\kappa}$-filtration. By Proposition 3.1, $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\mathcal{GF}^{\leq\kappa}$.

Proposition 6.4. $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ *is a complete cotorsion pair.*

Remark 6.1. *It is straightforward to show that Gorenstein flat modules are closed under direct limits. Since $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ is a complete cotorsion pair with $\mathcal{GF}$ closed under direct limits, it follows that $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ is a perfect cotorsion pair, i.e. every left R-module has a Gorenstein flat cover and a Gorenstein cotorsion cover (see [7] for details).*

On the other hand, if we consider the class $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_0^{\perp}$ of cotorsion modules, then $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{C})$ is a complete cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\mathcal{F}_0^{\leq \kappa}$, with κ as above [4, Proposition 7.4.3]. The pairs $(\mathcal{GF}, \mathcal{GC})$ and $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{C})$ are compatible by the following two results.

Proposition 6.5. *$\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{GF} \cap \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. By Theorem 6.1, we have $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{GF}$. So $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \cap \mathcal{W}$. Now let $F \in \mathcal{GF} \cap \mathcal{W}$. By [4, Corollary 10.3.4], the flat dimension of a Gorenstein flat module is either zero or infinite. Hence F is flat and $\mathcal{GF} \cap \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$.

□

Proposition 6.6. [8, Proof of Theorem 3.12] *$\mathcal{GC} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{W}$.*

By the Hovey's Criterion, there exists a unique abelian model structure on R−**Mod** such that $\mathcal{GF}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects. This model structure is called the **Gorenstein flat model structure** on R−**Mod**.

Now we adapt the construction of this structure to the category $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$. Assume that R is a commutative n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring. First, recall that a chain complex F is **flat** if F is exact and $Z_m(F)$ is a flat module, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [6], the author proved that F is flat if, and only if, $-\overline{\otimes}F$ is an exact functor.

Definition 6.4. *A chain complex $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ is said to be* **Gorenstein flat** *if there exists a $(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0}\overline{\otimes}-)$-exact sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of flat complexes such that $X = \mathrm{Ker}(F^0 \longrightarrow F^1)$. We shall denote the class of all Gorenstein flat complexes by $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$.

Theorem 6.3. [5, Theorem 5.4.3] *Let $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ be a chain complex. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) X is a Gorenstein flat complex.
(2) X^+ is a Gorenstein injective complex in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$.
(3) X_m is a Gorenstein flat module, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(4) $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W, X) = 0$ for all $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Mod}\text{−}R)$.

Definition 6.5. *A chain complex $Y \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ is* **Gorenstein cotorsion** *if $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, Y) = 0$ for every Gorenstein flat complex $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$. We shall denote the class of all Gorenstein cotorsion complexes by $\widehat{\mathcal{GC}}$.*

Proposition 6.7. *$\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{GC}}$ form a bar-cotorsion pair $\overline{(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GC}})}$.*

Proof. We only have to show that ${}^{\perp}\widehat{\mathcal{GC}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$. Let $X \in {}^{\perp}\widehat{\mathcal{GC}}$. We want to show $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W, X) = 0$, for every $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. So let $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. By Proposition 2.6, we have $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W, X)^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1^R(X, W)^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, W^+)$. Now let F be a Gorenstein flat complex. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(F, W^+) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W, F)^+ = 0$. We have $W^+ \in \widehat{\mathcal{GC}}$ and so $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, W^+) = 0$. It follows $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W, X) = 0$ and $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$. □

By Theorem 6.3, a complex X is Gorenstein flat if and only if X_m is a Gorenstein flat module, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using this equivalence, it follows that $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$ is closed under suspensions. So by the previous proposition, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GC}})$ is a cotorsion pair.

Let $\mathrm{dw}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}$ (resp. $\mathrm{ex}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}$) denote the class of (resp. exact) chain complexes $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ such that $X_m \in \mathcal{F}_0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [1, Proposition 4.1] it is proven that for every chain complex $X \in \mathrm{dw}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}$ and every $x \in X$ (i.e. $x \in X_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$), there exists a subcomplex $S \subseteq X$ in $\mathrm{dw}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $x \in S$ and $X/S \in \mathrm{dw}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}$. Recall that the cardinal number of a complex S is defined by $\mathrm{Card}(S) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Card}(S_m)$. The same argument can be applied to the class $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$.

Proposition 6.8. *Let X be a Gorenstein flat complex and let $x \in X$. Then there exists a Gorenstein flat subcomplex $S \subseteq X$ with $\mathrm{Card}(S) \leq \kappa$, such that $x \in S$ and X/S is also Gorenstein flat.*

Using this result, every Gorenstein flat complex has a $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}^{\leq\kappa}$-filtration, and hence $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GC}})$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}^{\leq\kappa}$. In [6, Proposition 4.9], the author proves that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}, (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0})^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\leq\kappa}$. Now we show that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GC}})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}, (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0})^\perp)$ are compatible.

Proposition 6.9. $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0} = \widehat{\mathcal{GF}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proof. Let F be a flat complex. Then $-\overline{\otimes}F$ is an exact functor, and so $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X, F) = 0$ for every chain complex Y. It follows $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W, F) = 0$ for every $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and hence F is Gorenstein flat. On the other hand, it is clear that $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.

Now let $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{GF}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. Then $X^+ \in \widehat{\mathcal{GI}}$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{fd}(X) = k < \infty$, so there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_k \longrightarrow F_{k-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

where F_i is flat for every $0 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow X^+ = \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(X, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow F_0^+ \longrightarrow F_1^+ \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{k-1}^+ \longrightarrow F_k^+ \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact since $D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is an injective complex (note that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(Y, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0$ implies that $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(Y, D^0(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0$, for every complex Y), and F_i^+ is an injective complex for every $0 \leq i \leq k$. So $\mathrm{id}(X^+) \leq k < \infty$ and $X^+ \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. We have $X^+ \in \widehat{\mathcal{GI}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$. It follows X is flat.

□

Proposition 6.10. $\widehat{\mathcal{GC}} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0})^\perp \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Corollary 5.2.

□

From these results, we have that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0})^\perp \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}})$ and $(\widehat{GF} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}, (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0})^\perp)$ are compatible. It follows there is a unique abelian model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0})^\perp$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call this model structure the **Gorenstein flat model structure** on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$.

Proposition 6.11. *The class $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$ is closed under direct limits.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ be a chain complex which is the direct limit of a direct system of Gorenstein flat complexes, say $(F_i)_{i\in I}$. Let $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$, we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W,X) = \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1\left(W, \varinjlim_{i\in I} F_i\right) \cong \varinjlim_{i\in I} \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W,F_i) = 0, \text{ since } \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(W,-) \text{ preserves direct limits.}$$

We prove this last assertion. Let Y be any chain complex which is the direct limit of a direct system $(Y_i)_{i\in I}$. In [5, Proposition 4.2.1 5], it is proven that $\left(\varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i\right)\overline{\otimes}W \cong \varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i\overline{\otimes}W$. Since R is commutative, we have

$$W\overline{\otimes}\left(\varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i\right) \cong \varinjlim_{i\in I} W\overline{\otimes}Y_i \quad (*).$$

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence where P is a projective complex. Then for every $i \in I$, we have an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{S}_i = 0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y_i) \longrightarrow K\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow P\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow X\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow 0.$$

Direct limits commute with homology, so the direct limit of a direct system of exact sequences is an exact sequence. Note that $(\mathbf{S}_i)_{i\in I}$ is a direct system of exact sequences, and hence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i\in I} \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y_i) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i\in I} K\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i\in I} P\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i\in I} X\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. By $(*)$, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{i\in I} \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y_i) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{i\in I} K\overline{\otimes}Y_i & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{i\in I} P\overline{\otimes}Y_i & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{i\in I} X\overline{\otimes}Y_i \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong & & \downarrow\cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1\left(X, \varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i\right) & \longrightarrow & K\overline{\otimes}\varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i & \longrightarrow & P\overline{\otimes}\varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i & \longrightarrow & X\overline{\otimes}\varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

By the Five Lemma, $\varinjlim_{i\in I} \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(X,Y_i) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1\left(X, \varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i\right)$ is an isomorphism. Using induction, one can show that $\varinjlim_{i\in I} \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_j(X,Y_i) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_j\left(X, \varinjlim_{i\in I} Y_i\right)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. □

We have that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GC}})$ is a complete cotorsion pair where the class $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$ is closed under direct limits. So the pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}, \widehat{\mathcal{GC}})$ is perfect. We have the following result.

Corollary 6.1. *Every chain complex $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ has a Gorenstein flat cover, provided that R is a commutative n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring.*

This result also appears in [5, Theorem 5.8.4], where the proof is more constructive from the author's point of view. We have given here a proof that uses the theory of cotorsion pairs.

Now we study the notion of Gorenstein flat dimension. As we did with the class $\mathcal{GP}$, it makes sense to consider left r-$\mathcal{GF}$-modules and left r-$\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$-complexes. We shall say that a module (resp. complex) is **Gorenstein r-flat** if it is a left r-$\mathcal{GF}$-module (resp. r-$\widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$-complex). Let $\mathcal{GF}_r$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$) denote

the class of Gorentein r-flat modules (resp. complexes) and $\mathrm{Gfd}(X)$ denote the (left) Gorenstein flat dimension of the module (resp. complex) X. Note that $\mathcal{GF}_r = \{M \in R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod} : \mathrm{Gfd}(M) \leq r\}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r} = \{X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}) : \mathrm{Gfd}(X) \leq r\}$, $\mathcal{GF}_0 = \mathcal{GF}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_0} = \widehat{\mathcal{GF}}$. First, we shall prove that the classes $\mathcal{GF}_r$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$ cogenerate complete cotorsion pairs in $R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$, respectively. Using this fact we shall construct a model structure on $R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$) where $\mathcal{GF}_r$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$) is the class of cofibrant objects. We assume again that R is a commutative n-Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring.

Proposition 6.12. *Let X be a left R-module or a chain complex of left R-modules. Then* $\mathrm{fd}(X) \leq r$ *if, and only if,* $\mathrm{id}(X^+) \leq r$, *for every $r > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose X is a chain complex (the other case is analogous).

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{fd}(X) \leq r &\iff \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(Y, X) = 0 \text{ for every } Y \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}) \iff \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(Y, X)^+ = 0 \\ &\iff \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{r+1}(Y, X^+) = 0 \iff \mathrm{id}(X^+) \leq r.\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.4. [4, Proposition 11.7.5] *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathrm{Gfd}(M) \leq r$.
(2) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(W, M) = 0$ *for all* $i \geq r + 1$ *and all* $W \in \mathcal{W}$.
(3) $\mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^R(W, M) = 0$ *for all* $W \in \mathcal{W}$.
(4) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, M) = 0$ *for all injective modules* I *and all* $i \geq r + 1$.
(5) $\mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^R(I, M) = 0$ *for all injective modules* I.
(6) Every r*th Gorenstein flat syzygy in Gorenstein flat.*
(7) Every r*th flat syzygy of Gorenstein flat.*
(8) $\mathrm{Gid}(M^+) \leq r$.

Proposition 6.13. *Let $M \subseteq N$ be a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule of the left R-module N, then* $\mathrm{Gfd}(M) \leq \mathrm{Gfd}(N)$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{Gfd}(N) = k < \infty$. We show $\mathrm{Tor}_{k+1}^R(W, M) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $S \in \Omega^{k+1}(W)$. First, note that $S \in \mathcal{W}$, since $\mathcal{W}$ is thick. Consider the following partial projective resolution of W: $0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow P_k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 0$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{g} & P_k \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_0 \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & W \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle r} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & S \otimes_R N & \xrightarrow[f]{} & P_k \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & P_0 \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & W \otimes_R N & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Since $S, P_i \in \mathcal{W}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$ and M is a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule of N, we have that the columns are exact. Now consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow P_k \longrightarrow S' \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $S' \in \Omega^k(W)$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(S', N) \longrightarrow S \otimes_R N \longrightarrow P_k \otimes_R N \longrightarrow S' \otimes_R N \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(S', N) = \mathrm{Tor}_{k+1}^R(W, N) = 0$. So f is monic. It follows that g is also monic, since $r \circ g = f \circ f$, where l and r are monic. Therefore, $\mathrm{Tor}_{k+1}^R(W, M) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(S', M) = 0$ and so $\mathrm{Gfd}(M) \leq k$.

□

Proposition 6.14. *$(\mathcal{GF}_r, (\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair.*

Proof. We only need to show ${}^\perp((\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_r$. Let $M \in {}^\perp((\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp)$. We want to show that $\mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^R(W, M) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. Let $W \in \mathcal{W}$, we have:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^R(W, M)^+ \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^{r+1}(M, W^+) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(M, K),$$

where $K \in \Omega^{-r}(W^+)$. We show $K \in (\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp$. Let $N \in \mathcal{GF}_r$. We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(N, K) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, W^+),$$

where $G \in \Omega^r(N)$. Since $N \in \mathcal{GF}_r$, we have G is Gorenstein flat, and so $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(G, W^+) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(W, G)^+ = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(N, K) = 0$ for every $N \in \mathcal{GF}_r$, i.e. $K \in (\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp$. It follows $\mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^R(W, M) = 0$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}$. □

Given two resolutions

$$0 \longrightarrow F'_r \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F'_1 \longrightarrow F'_0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$
$$0 \longrightarrow F_r \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

we shall say that (1) is a **subresolution** of (2) if (1) is a subcomplex of (2).

Recall that for every Gorenstein flat module F and every $x \in F$, one can construct a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule $S \subseteq F$ with $\mathrm{Card}(S) \leq \kappa$, such that $x \in S$. One can apply the same reasoning to show that every submodule $F' \subseteq F$ with $\mathrm{Card}(F') \leq \kappa$ can be embedded into a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule $S \subseteq F$ with $\mathrm{Card}(S) \leq \kappa$. From this fact, one deduces the following result.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $M \in \mathcal{GF}_r$ with a Gorenstein flat resolution*

$$0 \longrightarrow F_r \xrightarrow{f_r} F_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

and N be a submodule of M with $\mathrm{Card}(N) \leq \kappa$. Then there exists a Gorenstein flat subresolution

$$0 \longrightarrow S'_r \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S'_1 \longrightarrow S'_0 \longrightarrow N' \longrightarrow 0$$

of (1) such that S'_k is a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule of F_k and $\mathrm{Card}(S'_k) \leq \kappa$, for every $0 \leq k \leq r$, and such that $N \subseteq N'$. Moreover, if N has a subresolution of (1)

$$0 \longrightarrow S_r \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S_1 \longrightarrow S_0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

where S_k is a $\mathcal{W}$-pure submodule of F_k with $\mathrm{Card}(S_k) \leq \kappa$, for every $0 \leq k \leq r$, then the above resolution of N' can be constructed in such a way that it contains the given resolution of N.

This lemma is proven in [12, Lemma 5.1] for the class $\mathcal{F}_r$ of r-flat modules. The same argument works for $\mathcal{GF}_r$. Using the lemma above, one can construct $\mathcal{GF}_r^{\leq\kappa}$-filtrations for every Gorenstein r-flat module, and hence:

Theorem 6.5. *$(\mathcal{GF}_r, (\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion pair.*

In [13] it is proven the completeness of $(\mathcal{F}_r, (\mathcal{F}_r)^\perp)$. The pairs $(\mathcal{F}_r, (\mathcal{F}_r)^\perp)$ and $(\mathcal{GF}_r, (\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp)$ are also compatible.

Proposition 6.15. *$\mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{GF}_r \cap \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Same proof as in Corollary 5.1. □

Proposition 6.16. *$(\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp = (\mathcal{F}_r)^\perp \cap \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Same proof as in Corollary 5.2. □

From these propositions, we have that $(\mathcal{GF}_r, (\mathcal{GF}_r)^\perp)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_r, (\mathcal{F}_r)^\perp)$ are complete and compatible cotorsion pairs. Therefore there exists a unique abelian model structure on R−**Mod** such that $\mathcal{GF}_r$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $(\mathcal{F}_r)^\perp$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of trivial objects. We shall call this model structure the **Gorenstein r-flat model structure** on R−**Mod**.

Now we want to apply the same study to the category of complexes $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$. Suppose for the rest of this section that R is a commutative n-Gorenstein ring. The following result is easy to show.

Proposition 6.17. *The following conditions are equivalent for any chain complex $X \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$:*

(1) X is a Gorenstein r-flat complex.
(2) $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(W, X) = 0$ for all $i \geq r+1$ and all $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.
(3) $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(W, X) = 0$ for all $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.
(4) Every Gorenstein rth flat syzygy is Gorenstein flat.
(5) Every rth flat syzygy is Gorenstein flat.
(6) X_m is a Gorenstein r-flat module for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(7) X^+ is a Gorenstein r-injective complex.

The class $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$ of Gorenstein r-flat complexes cogenerates a complete cotorsion pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^\perp)$.

Proposition 6.18. *$\overline{(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\overline{\perp}})}$ is a bar-cotorsion pair.*

Proof. We only have to show that ${}^{\overline{\perp}}((\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\overline{\perp}}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$. Let $X \in {}^{\overline{\perp}}((\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\overline{\perp}})$. We want to show that $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(W, X) = 0$ for every $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. By Proposition 2.6, we have $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(W, X)^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(X, W)^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{r+1}(X, W^+) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, K)$, where $K \in \Omega^{-r}(W^+)$. Let $Z \in \widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(Z, K) = \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^{r+1}(Z, W^+) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(W, Z)^+ = 0$. So $K \in (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\overline{\perp}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(W, X)^+ \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ext}}^1(X, K) = 0$. Finally, $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_{r+1}(W, X) = 0$ for every $W \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$, i.e. $X \in \widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$. □

Since the class $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$ is closed under suspensions, we have that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\overline{\perp}})$ is a cotorsion pair and $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\perp} = (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\overline{\perp}}$. So $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\perp})$ is a cotorsion pair. By Lemma 6.3, along with the argument used in [12, Theorem 5.1], we have that $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}, (\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\perp})$ is a cotorsion pair cogenerated by $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}^{\leq\kappa}$, so it is complete. Moreover, it is easy to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_r} = \widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r})^{\perp} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_r})^{\perp} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. So we obtain a unique abelian model structure on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{GF}_r}$ is the class of cofibrant objects, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_r})^{\perp}$ is the class of fibrant objects, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is the class of trivial objects. We call this model structure the **Gorenstein r-flat model structure** on $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$.

7 Gorenstein homological dimensions over graded rings

In this section, we shall consider an associative ring R with unit and the graded ring $A := R[x]/(x^2)$. We first show that $A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ are isomorphic categories, in order to prove later that Gorenstein r-projective A-modules and dg-r-projective chain complexes over R are in one-to-one correspondence, provided R satisfies certain conditions. We also prove the same for Gorenstein r-injective and Gorenstein r-flat A-modules.

Recall that a **$\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring** A is a ring that has a direct sum decomposition into (abelian) additive gropus $A = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A_n = \cdots A_{-1} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$ such that the ring multiplication $\cdot$ satisfies $A_m \cdot A_n \subseteq A_{m+n}$, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A **graded module** is left module over a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring A with a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_n$ such that the product $\cdot : A \cdot M \longrightarrow M$ satisfies $A_m \cdot M_n \subseteq M_{m+n}$, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Given any associative ring with unit R, consider the ring of polynomials $R[x]$ and the ideal (x^2). It is easy to see that the quotient $A := R[x]/(x^2)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring with a direct sum decomposition given by $R[x]/(x^2) = \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus (x) \oplus R \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots$, where the scalars $r \in R$ are the elements of degree 0, and the elements in the ideal (x) form the terms of degree -1. We prove that every A-module can be viewed as a chain complex over R, and vice versa.

Let $\Phi : A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ be the application defined as follows:

(1) Given a graded A-module $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M_n$, note that if $y \in M_n$ then $x \cdot y \in M_{n-1}$, since x has degree -1. Denote by $\Phi(M)_n$ the set M_n endowed with the structure of R-module provided by the graded multiplication. Let $\partial_n : \Phi(M)_n \longrightarrow \Phi(M)_{n-1}$ be the map $y \mapsto x \cdot y$. It is clear that ∂_n is an R-homomorphism. Moreover, $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n(y) = x \cdot (x \cdot y) = x^2 \cdot y = 0 \cdot y = 0$. Then, $\Phi(M) = (\Phi(M)_n, \partial_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain complex over R.

(2) Let $f : M \longrightarrow N$ be a homomorphism of graded A-modules. Then $f(M_n) \subseteq N_n$, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $f|_{M_n}$ is an R-homomorphism. Let $\Phi(f)_n := f|_{M_n} : \Phi(M)_n \longrightarrow \Phi(N)_n$. We have $\Phi(f)_{n-1} \circ \partial_n^M(y) = f|_{M_{n-1}}(x \cdot y) = x \cdot f|_{M_n}(y) = \partial_n^N \circ \Phi(f)_n(y)$. So $\Phi(f) = (\Phi(f)_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a chain map.

Note that $\Phi : A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ defines a covariant functor. We show that this functor is an isomorphism, by giving an inverse functor $\Psi : \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}) \longrightarrow A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$.

(1) Let $M = (M_n, \partial_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a chain complex over R. Let $y \in M_n$ and define the product $r \cdot y = ry \in M_n$ for every $r \in R$, and $x \cdot y = \partial_n(y) \in M_{n-1}$. This gives rise to a graded A-module, that we denote by $\Psi(M) = (\Psi(M)_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\Psi(M)_n = M_n$ as sets.

(2) Given a chain map $f : M \longrightarrow N$, we have $x \cdot f(y) = \partial \circ f(y) = f \circ \partial(y) = f(x \cdot y)$. Then f gives rise to a graded A-module homomorphism denoted by $\Phi(f)$.

Note that $\Psi : \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}) \longrightarrow A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ is a functor. It is easy to show that $\Psi \circ \Phi = \mathrm{Id}_{A-\mathbf{Mod}}$ and $\Phi \circ \Psi = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})}$. It follows that Φ and Ψ map projective and injective objects into projective and injective objects, respectively. It is also easy to check that both Ψ and Φ preserves exact sequences. Now we equip $A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ with the tensor product $\otimes_A$ and $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ with the bar tensor product $\overline{\otimes}$.

Lemma 7.1.

(1) Given two chain complexes Y, Z in $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$, then $\Psi(Y\overline{\otimes}Z) \cong \Psi(Y) \otimes_A \Psi(Z)$.
(2) Given two graded A-modules M and N, then $\Phi(M \otimes_A N) \cong \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(N)$.

Proof. To prove (1), we shall see that $\Psi(Y\overline{\otimes}Z)$ is the tensor product of $\Psi(Y)$ and $\Psi(Z)$. Consider the projection $\pi : Y \times Z \longrightarrow Y\overline{\otimes}Z$. Then we have a map $\rho := \Psi(\pi) : \Psi(Y \times Z) \longrightarrow \Psi(Y\overline{\otimes}Z)$. Note that $\Psi(Y \times Z) = \Psi(Y) \times \Psi(Z)$. Consider the A-homomorphism $g : \Psi(Y) \times \Psi(Z) \longrightarrow \Psi(Y) \otimes_A \Psi(Z)$ given by $g(y, z) = y \otimes z$. Since $\Phi(\Psi(Y) \times \Psi(Z)) = Y \times Z$, by the universal property of tensor products, there exists a unique chain map $h : Y\overline{\otimes}Z \longrightarrow \Phi(\Psi(Y) \otimes_A \Psi(Z))$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times Z & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y\overline{\otimes}Z \\ & \searrow^{\Phi(g)} & \downarrow h \\ & & \Phi(\Psi(Y) \otimes_A \Psi(Z)) \end{array}$$

So the following diagram in $A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$ also commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi(Y) \times \Psi(Z) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \Psi(Y\overline{\otimes}Z) \\ & \searrow^{g} & \downarrow \Psi(h) \\ & & \Psi(Y) \otimes_A \Psi(Z) \end{array}$$

It is not hard to show that $\Psi(h)$ is the only A-homomorphism that makes the above diagram commute. Hence, $\Psi(Y\overline{\otimes}Z)$ is the tensor product of $\Psi(Y)$ and $\Psi(Z)$.

Now consider two A-modules M and N. We have that

$$\Psi(\Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(N)) \cong \Psi(\Phi(M)) \otimes_A \Psi(\Phi(N)) \cong M \otimes_A N.$$

Hence

$$\Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(N) \cong \Phi(\Psi(\Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(N))) \cong \Phi(M \otimes_A N).$$

□

Lemma 7.2. *We have the following isomorphisms for every $i \geq 1$, $M, N \in A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$, and $Y, Z \in \mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$:*

(1) $\mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^i(\Phi(M), \Phi(N))$.
(2) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(M, N) \cong \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(\Phi(M), \Phi(N))$.

(3) $\mathrm{Ext}^i(Y,Z) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(\Psi(Y),\Psi(Z))$.
(4) $\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_i(Y,Z) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^A_i(\Psi(Y),\Psi(Z))$.

Proof. To show (1), given a class $[0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0] \in \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M,N)$, map its representative to the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Phi(N) \longrightarrow \Phi(Q) \longrightarrow \Phi(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is exact since Φ is an exact functor. Also, Φ preserves pullbacks, and hence it preserves Baer sums. It follows

$$[0 \longrightarrow \Phi(N) \longrightarrow \Phi(Q) \longrightarrow \Phi(M) \longrightarrow 0] \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Phi(M),\Phi(N)).$$

It is clear that this mapping defines a group isomorphism from $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(M,N)$ to $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Phi(M),\Phi(N))$. The same argument works for any $i > 1$. Part (3) follows similarly.

Now we show (2). Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0,$$

where P is a projective A-module. It follows we have an exact sequence of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}^A_1(M,N) \longrightarrow M \otimes_A K \longrightarrow M \otimes_A P \longrightarrow M \otimes_A N \longrightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(\Phi(M),\Phi(N)) \longrightarrow \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(K) \longrightarrow \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(P) \longrightarrow \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(N) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Notice that we have used the fact that $\Phi(P)$ is a projective chain complex. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}^A_1(M,N) & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A K & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(\Phi(M),\Phi(N)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(K) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(P) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(M)\overline{\otimes}\Phi(N) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

So by the Five Lemma, we get that $\mathrm{Tor}^A_1(M,N) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(\Phi(M),\Phi(N))$ is an isomorphism. The rest follows by induction. The proof of (4) is similar.

□

Corollary 7.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the flat objects of* $A-$**Mod** *and the flat objects of* **Ch**$(R-$**Mod**$)$*, given by the functor* Φ*.*

Proof. Let F be a flat left A-module. Let Y be any chain complex over R. Then there exists a unique right A-module M such that $Y = \Phi(M)$. We have

$$\overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(Y,\Phi(F)) = \overline{\mathrm{Tor}}_1(\Phi(M),\Phi(F)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}^A_1(M,F) = 0.$$

Hence, $\Phi(F)$ is a flat chain complex over R. The mapping $F \mapsto \Phi(F)$ gives the desired correspondence.

□

Definition 7.1. *Given a cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ in $R-\mathbf{Mod}$, a chain complex is a dg-$\mathcal{A}$-complex, denoted $X \in \mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, if $X_m \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if every chain map $X \longrightarrow B$ with $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is null homotopic. Similarly, X is a dg-$\mathcal{B}$-complex, denoted $X \in \mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, if $X_m \in \mathcal{B}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if every chain $A \longrightarrow X$ with $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is null homotopic.*

For the classes of projective, injective, and flat modules $\mathcal{P}_0$, $\mathcal{I}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$, $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0}$, $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0}$ and $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_0}$ are called the classes of dg-projective, dg-injective and dg-flat complexes, respectively. It is known that $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0} = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0} = \mathcal{E}^{\perp}$ [5, Propositions 2.3.4 and 2.3.5]. Moreover, $(\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_0},\mathcal{E})$ and $(\mathcal{E},\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{I}_0})$ are cotorsion pairs.

Theorem 7.1. [8, Propositions 3.6, 3.8 and 3.10] *The functor $\Psi : \mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod}) \longrightarrow A-\mathbf{Mod}$ maps:*

(1) dg-projective complexes into Gorenstein projective A-modules,
(2) dg-injective complexes into Gorenstein injective A-modules, and
(3) dg-flat complexes into Gorenstein flat A-modules.

If R is a left and right Noetherian ring of finite global dimension, then the inverse functor $\Phi : A-\mathbf{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$ maps:

(1') Gorenstein projective A-modules into dg-projective complexes,
(2') Gorenstein injective A-modules into dg-injective complexes, and
(3') Gorenstein flat A-modules into dg-flat complexes.

Corollary 7.2. *Let R be an n-Iwanaga Gorenstein ring. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the exact chain complexes over R and the A-modules in $\mathcal{W}$.*

Proof. Let E be an exact complex over R. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X,E) = 0$ for every dg-projective complex X. Consider $\Psi(E)$ and let P be a Gorenstein projective A-module. By the previous theorem, there exists a unique dg-projective chain complex X such that $P = \Psi(X)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(P,\Psi(E)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(\Psi(X),\Psi(E)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(X,E) = 0.$$

It follows $\Psi(E) \in \mathcal{W}$, since $(\mathcal{GP},\mathcal{W})$ is a cotorsion pair. The mapping $E \mapsto \Psi(E)$ gives the desired correspondence. □

In [6, Proposition 3.6], J Gillespie proves that if $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is a complete cotorsion pair in $R-\mathbf{Mod}$, then $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ and $(\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ are cotorsion pairs in $\mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$. Moreover, if $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is also hereditary, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{E}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{E}$. It follows that the class $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r}$ of dg-r-projective chain complexes cogenerates a cotorsion pair $(\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r},(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{E})$. We have similar results for the classes of dg-r-injective chain complexes and dg-r-flat complexes.

Theorem 7.2. *The functor $\Psi : \mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod}) \longrightarrow A-\mathbf{Mod}$ maps:*

(1) dg-r-projective complexes into Gorenstein r-projective A-modules,
(2) dg-r-injective complexes into Gorenstein r-injective A-modules, and
(3) dg-r-flat complexes into Gorenstein r-flat A-modules.

If R is a left and right Noetherian ring of finite global dimension, then the inverse functor $\Phi : A-\mathbf{Mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}(R-\mathbf{Mod})$ maps:

(1') *Gorenstein r-projective A-modules into dg-r-projective complexes,*
(2') *Gorenstein r-injective A-modules into dg-r-injective complexes, and*
(3') *Gorenstein r-flat A-modules into dg-r-flat complexes.*

Proof. We only prove (1) and (1'), since the other assertions can be shown in a similar way. Let $X \in \mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r}$. Consider $\Psi(X)$ and a partial left projective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow P_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow \Psi(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We show that G is a Gorenstein projective A-module. Consider the complex $\Phi(G)$ and let E be an exact complex. We have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Phi(G), E) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, E')$, where $E' \in \Omega^{-r}(E)$. Note that $E' \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp}$. If fact, if $Z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r}$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(Z, E') \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{r+1}(Z, E) = 0$. Also, it is easy to check that $E' \in \mathcal{E}$. So $E' \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{E} = (\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp}$. It follows $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Phi(G), E) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, E') = 0$, for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$. In other words, $\Phi(G)$ is dg-projective, and by the previous theorem we have $G = \Psi(\Phi(G))$ is a Gorenstein projective A-module.

Now suppose that R is a left and right Noetherian ring of finite global dimension. Note that Ψ and Φ define an one-to-one correspondence between the projective objects of $\mathbf{Ch}(R\text{−}\mathbf{Mod})$ and $A\text{−}\mathbf{Mod}$. It follows that Ψ and Φ also define an one-to-one correspondence between r-projective complexes over R and r-projective A-modules. Let $X \in (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp}$ and consider $\Psi(X)$. Let M be an r-projective A-module. Then $\Phi(M)$ is an r-projective complex. We have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(M, \Psi(X)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Phi(M), X) = 0$. It follows $\Psi(X) \in (\mathcal{P}_r)^{\perp}$. Hence, Ψ and Φ give rise to a one-to-one correspondence between $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp}$ and $(\mathcal{P}_r)^{\perp}$. Also, by the previous corollary, we have the same correspondence between $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{W}$. Since $(\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{E}$ and $(\mathcal{P}_r)^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{GP}_r)^{\perp}$, we have that a complex Y is in $(\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp}$ if and only if $\Psi(Y)$ is in $(\mathcal{GP}_r)^{\perp}$. Since $\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r} = {}^{\perp}((\mathrm{dg}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_r})^{\perp})$ and $\mathcal{GP}_r = {}^{\perp}((\mathcal{GP}_r)^{\perp})$, we have that Φ maps Gorenstein r-projective A-modules into dg-r-projective complexes. □

References

[1] S. T. Aldrich, Edgar E. Enochs, Juan Ramón García Rozas & Luis Oyonarte. *Covers and Envelopes in Grothendieck Categories: Flat Covers of Complexes with Applications.* Journal of Algebra. Vol. 243. pp. 615-630. (2001).

[2] Diego Bravo, Edgar E. Enochs, Alina Iacob, Overtoun M. G. Jenda & Juan Rada. *Cotorsion pairs in C(R-Mod).* To appear in Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics.

[3] Paul Eklof & Jan Trlifaj. *How to make Ext vanish.* Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, Vol. 33, No. 1, pp. 41-51. (2001).

[4] Edgar E. Enochs & Overtoun M. G. Jenda. *Relative Homological Algebra. Volume 1.* De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Vol. 30. De Gruyter. Berlin. (2011).

[5] Juan Ramón García Rozas. *Covers and envelopes in the category of complexes of modules.* Cahpman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics. Vol. 407. London (1999).

[6] James Gillespie. *The Flat Model Structure on Ch(R)*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 356, No. 8, pp. 3369-3390. (2004).

[7] Rüdiger Göbel & Jan Trlifaj. *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Vol. 41. Walter de Gruyter. Berlin (2006).

[8] James Gillespie & Mark Hovey. *Gorenstein model structures and generalized derived categories*. Submitted to Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society.

[9] Henrik Holm. *Gorenstein Homological Dimensions*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra. Volume 189, Issues 1-3, pp. 167-193. (2004).

[10] Mark Hovey. *Cotorsion pairs, model category structures and representation theory*. Mathematical Zeitschrift. Vol. 241, pp. 553-592 (2002).

[11] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. Volume 63. American Mathematical Society. Providence (2007).

[12] Marco Pérez. *Degreeqise n-projective and n-flat model structures on chain complexes*. Preprint.

[13] Marco Pérez. *n-Projective model structures on chain complexes*. Preprint.